SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 12/31/10 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Monterey Park

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$7,061,648

PJ's Size Grouping*: C

PJ Since (FY): 1995

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (| Percentile):* |
|---|----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 93 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 92.99 % | 96.49 % | 69 | 96.48 % | 24 | 22 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 82.22 % | 88.28 % | 72 | 89.00 % | 19 | 16 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 3.23 | 5.83 | 57 | 4.81 | 41 | 36 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 77.63 % | 1 | 83.25 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 100.00 % | 70.30 % | 1 | 70.99 % | 99 | 100 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 54.05 % | 81.96 % | 86 | 81.75 % | 9 | 6 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 8.11 % | 41.30 % | 84 | 45.84 % | 3 | 2 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 97.97 % | 96.50 % | 51 | 96.12 % | 37 | 39 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In S | tate: 38 / 93 | Natior | nally: 45 | 43 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | l Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$25,089 | \$36,975 | | \$27,889 | 148 Units | 83.10 % |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$0 | \$21,536 | | \$15,632 | 0 Units | 0.00 |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$16,389 | \$27,502 | | \$21,037 | 30 Units | 16.90 % |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$2,232 | | \$3,206 | 0 Units | 0.00 % |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (216 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (284 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Monterey Park CA **Total Development Costs:** Rental Homebuyer Homeowner **CHDO Operating Expenses:** PJ: 0.0 % (average reported cost per unit in (% of allocation) 1.2 % **National Avg:** PJ: \$106,234 \$0 \$17,051 HOME-assisted projects) \$28,818 State:* \$141,290 \$116,319 R.S. Means Cost Index: 1.09 National:** \$99,461 \$23,907 \$78,568

| RACE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|-------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| White: | 1.4 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 8.3 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 89.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 53.8 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 0.7 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 1.4 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 0.7 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 41.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 3.4 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL A | ASSISTAN | ICE: | | |
| 1 Person: | 80.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | Section 8: | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| 2 Persons: | 15.9 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 1.4 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 37.2 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 0.7 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 62.8 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 0.7 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | # of Section 504 Compliant | Units / Co | mpleted Un | its Since 200 | 1 75 |

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state



^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

— HOME PROGRAM — SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Monterey Park

State: CA

(Percentile)

State Rank: 38 93 PJs Overall Rank: 43 (Percentile)

Summary: 2 Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|---------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 77.87% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 53.90% | 100 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 54.05 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 92.09% | 97.97 | |
| "ALLOCATION-Y | /EARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 2.415 | 2.47 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.